

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Appendicitis as a possible safety signal for the COVID-19 vaccines

Joseph Mitchell, Qun-Ying Yue


PII: S2590-1362(21)00039-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvacx.2021.100122

Reference: JVACX 100122

To appear in: *Vaccine: X* 

Received Date: 22 June 2021 Revised Date: 20 August 2021 Accepted Date: 29 October 2021



Please cite this article as: J. Mitchell, Q-Y. Yue, Appendicitis as a possible safety signal for the COVID-19 vaccines, *Vaccine: X* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvacx.2021.100122

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Appendicitis as a possible safety signal for the COVID-19 vaccines

Joseph Mitchell<sup>1\*</sup> (0000-0002-1103-7242), Qun-Ying Yue<sup>1</sup> (0000-0003-2475-2621)

<sup>1</sup> Uppsala Monitoring Centre, Bredgränd 7, Uppsala, 753 20, Sweden; joseph.mitchell@who-

umc.org (J.M.); qun-ying.yue@who-umc.org (Q-Y.Y)

\*Correspondence to: Joseph Mitchell; joseph.mitchell@who-umc.org

**Abstract** 

This study reviewed cases of appendicitis following administration of COVID-19 vaccines reported to VigiBase, the WHO database of individual case safety reports (ICSRs). Three hundred fifty-eight cases were identified, and disproportionate reporting was noted, with 329 calculated expected cases. Upon review, 24 ICSRs were excluded, so 334 unique ICSRs underwent clinical review from 19 countries. Forty-eight percent of ICSRs reported imaging and 69% noted surgical intervention. The cases were clinically coherent, with an apparent increase in reporting in the four days post-vaccination and a possible dose-response relationship. Appendicitis has been suggested as an adverse event of special interest post-vaccination against COVID-19 after a numerical increase in the vaccine arm of a clinical trial. The case series may be affected by differences in global patterns of reporting, and it is not possible to prove nor disprove causality from this case series. Global longitudinal studies are required to clarify any possible relationship.

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccine, appendicitis, safety signal, pharmacovigilance

Appendicitis is one of the most common surgical abdominal conditions. Typical presentation is of a central abdominal pain that intensifies and radiates the right iliac fossa over the first 24 hours, however, this occurs only in approximately 50% of appendicitis cases and there is no specific diagnostic finding(Humes and Simpson, 2006). Appendicitis is mentioned in the product information of Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine approved by the FDA, due to a numerical increase of appendicitis cases in

1

the vaccine arm of a large clinical trial(Food and Drug Administration, 2021). No causal relationship has been established, and it is not labelled for the other COVID-19 vaccines. Appendicitis has been suggested to be an adverse event of special interest post-vaccination for the COVID-19 vaccines(Li et al., 2021).

This study aimed to explore the relationship of COVID-19 vaccines and appendicitis by using VigiBase, the WHO database of individual case safety reports (ICSRs), to identify relevant cases of appendicitis with COVID-19 vaccines as the suspected medicinal product from spontaneous reports. ICSRs are anonymised prior to uploading to VigiBase. On 27th May 2021, a search was performed for terms specific to the diagnosis of appendicitis; "Appendicitis", "Appendicectomy", "Appendicitis perforated", and "Complicated appendicitis"; in conjunction with "COVID-19 vaccine". Disproportionality, a statistical measurement of the imbalance of reports for a drug-event pair that compares an observed number of reports to a calculated expected number based on all medicinal products(Norén et al., 2013; Star et al., 2019), was calculated in VigiBase. To the best of our knowledge there is not a case definition or clinical criteria to help determine the likelihood of appendicitis in the cases identified. However, the identified cases were analysed, and narratives clinically reviewed. Where there was uncertainty from the narrative as to whether the patient was diagnosed with appendicitis after clinical review and investigation by a physician the report was excluded. Reasons for exclusion included multiple differential diagnoses being reported in the narrative or if clinical findings such as laboratory tests, imaging studies and surgical findings were reported and not supportive of appendicitis. When there was a difference in time-to-onset (TTO) of appendicitis or suspected symptoms of appendicitis, the shortest TTO was used. Seriousness of the ICSR is determined by the case reporter, prior to uploading to VigiBase, and is defined by the International Conference of Harmonisation seriousness criteria (results in death, is life threatening, caused or prolonged hospitilisation, results in persistent or significant disability, is a congenital birth

defect or is a medically important condition)(International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use., 2003).

As of 27<sup>th</sup> May 2021, an estimated 1.82 billion doses of COVID-19 vaccines had been administered(Mathieu et al., 2021; Ritchie et al., 2020), there were 900,695 ICSRs in VigiBase for COVID-19 vaccines, and of these 358 ICSRs reported appendicitis. The terms "Appendicitis", "Complicated appendicitis", and "Appendicectomy" had statistically significant disproportional reporting with "COVID-19 vaccines". When stratified by vaccine manufacturer there was significant disproportionate reporting for seven combinations of terms and the vaccines from Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna and Janssen. Full details of disproportionality are in Table 1.

Due to duplication or unclear reporting 24 cases were excluded, leaving 334 cases from 19 countries for analysis. Case demographics are shown in Table 2. The cases described in the narratives followed that of typical appendicitis, with patients presenting with abdominal pain often accompanied by nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, or fever. There was often a delay of several days between onset of symptoms and diagnosis. Three hundred and one (90%) of ICSRs were marked as serious, with most of these being noted as causing or prolonging hospitalisation (n=283, 85%); the other criteria reported were life threatening (n=87, 26%), other medically important condition (n=15, 4.5%) and persistent or significant disability (n=6, 1.8%). One ICSR (0.3%) described a fatal case, the patient having been found to be profoundly thrombocytopenic, and dying before their delayed surgery. In the ICSRs, no other medications were suspected to have caused appendicitis.

Figure 1 shows that most of the cases reported a TTO of 0-4 days with a median of four days, despite a very wide range in TTO (0-71 days). The only cases reported after the second dose were for the Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccine, but this is likely to be heavily influenced by the vaccination programmes, with a typically longer interval between doses for AstraZeneca, for example. When

looking at the differences in TTO between the first and second dose in general, the median was three days for both, however, for the Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines there was a notable difference in TTO between first and second dose (Pfizer-BioNTech four and three days respectively; Moderna three and two days respectively). This is a relatively small difference, and we are not able to compare this to the other vaccines, but it could suggest a dose–response relationship. However, more cases were reported after the first dose (n=178) than the second dose (n=97) and this remains true, if less pronounced, for both Pfizer-BioNTech (n=83 and n=70, respectively) and Moderna (n=39 and n=27, respectively).

Fifty-two cases reported a negative COVID-19 test at the time of symptoms, with two reporting a positive COVID-19 test, in one of these ICSRs the exact timeline is unclear but occurred after receiving the AstraZeneca vaccine, while the other describes a positive COVID-19 test four days after the first dose of the Moderna vaccine before developing the onset of appendicitis five days later. Seven ICSRs described a previous COVID-19 infection with the time between infection and vaccination being reported as 29 days, five months, six months, ten months and 11 months with it being unreported in two ICSRs. COVID-19 status is an important consideration as previous infection may enhance the immune response to vaccination(Manisty et al., 2021). Furthermore, multisystem inflammatory syndrome, initially described in children as a COVID-19 complication, can present as abdominal pain and both mimic and cause appendicitis(Anderson et al., 2021). However, only two of the cases in this series describe being COVID-19 positive at the time of appendicitis.

The exact pathophysiology of appendicitis is unknown, but it is thought to most commonly occur due to obstruction of the appendiceal lumen, classically due to fecalith(Chae et al., 2015; Petroianu and Villar Barrosso, 2016). Five ICSRs mention appendicoliths, as a reported term or in the case narrative, and all reports of appendicolith with COVID-19 vaccine are in this case series. Lymphoid hyperplasia has also been identified as an important cause of appendicitis(Ansari, 2020; Swischuk et al., 2015) as

it can cause obstruction and lead to appendicitis(Petroianu and Villar Barrosso, 2016). Appendicitis has presented simultaneously in different underlying causes of lymphadenopathy and lymphoid hyperplasia, such as viral infection, leukemia and lymphoma(Chae et al., 2015; Rauenzahn et al., 2013; Swischuk et al., 2015). Lymphadenopathy is listed as a side-effect of the vaccines. Five ICSRs mention lymphadenopathy as occurring, two mentioning abdominal lymphadenopathies specifically. It is possible that abdominal lymphadenopathy, and similarly appendicolith, would be underreported, as they are typically diagnosed after abdominal imaging and not often identified as a serious adverse event. One hundred sixty-one ICSRs (48%) mention imaging being performed to support the diagnosis. In VigiBase to date, there are nine ICSRs mentioning abdominal lymphadenopathy after COVID-19 vaccinations, including the two in this series. There are also 29,191 ICSRs with lymphadenopathy after COVID-19 vaccines, the median TTO is one day, and 16% report a TTO as zero days. This suggests that such a mechanism is temporally plausible even for the cases where onset of symptoms was very quick. Additionally, the immune response may also contribute to the onset of appendicitis. The COVID-19 vaccines produce robust Th1 immune responses(Bos et al., 2020; Corbett et al., 2020; Polack et al., 2020; Sahin et al., 2020) and there is a hypothesised link between an increased Th1 immune response and a sub-type of appendicitis that is likely to require surgery (Livingston et al., 2007; Petroianu and Villar Barrosso, 2016; Rubér et al., 2006). In this study 69% of ICSRs reported surgical intervention, which may be an underestimate as outcomes and management are not always included in the reports.

Although there is disproportionate reporting of appendicitis on a global level, most ICSRs in this series are from North America and when stratified by vaccine manufacturer, the vaccines that have disproportionate reporting are those used in the United States of America (USA). This may be related to the mention of appendicitis in the product information for the USA. Spontaneous reporting systems typically under-report adverse events but there can be an increase when a medicinal product is being scrutinised due to public or media interest in a product(Star et al., 2019). These

vaccines are used outside of North America and there is evidence of disproportionate reporting outside of North America, but further investigations are needed to further explore the global pattern of cases and to increase awareness globally.

The overall incidence of appendicitis is approximately 11 cases per 10,000 life years(Ferris et al., 2017; Petroianu and Villar Barrosso, 2016), with highest incidence reported in late childhood and early adulthood(Li et al., 2021; Petroianu and Villar Barrosso, 2016). Most cases occurred in the age group 18-44 years (49%), but a significant amount occurred in the 45-64 years age group (31%). The median age of the cases, where reported, was 42 years old. This suggests that the cases identified in this assessment may be occurring at a slightly older age than expected, but this is likely to be heavily impacted by the vaccination programmes in different countries, with many countries prioritising vaccination of elderly and vulnerable populations and healthcare workers. This is an important consideration as vaccination programmes progress toward vaccinating younger populations and it might be expected to see an increase in the rate of reporting for appendicitis as this occurs. This will require special consideration in when establishing if there is an increase in appendicitis cases above the background rate.

One of the main weaknesses of this study is that we were not able to compare the reporting rate to the prevailing incidence as the data is from a passive surveillance system(Li et al., 2021). This is partly because it is not possible to calculate a precise denominator to compare observed reporting rate to an expected incidence rate. Furthermore, there is typically underreporting with spontaneous reporting which varies for each drug-event combination, but one meta-analysis estimated the average level of underreporting to be 94%(Hazell and Shakir, 2006). We do, however, have other ways to compare observed against expected reports in VigiBase. Disproportionality analysis, see Table 1, shows there are more observed reports than a calculated expected number of reports (358 versus 329 cases, respectively). The expected number of reports is automatically calculated based

on the total number of reports concerning COVID-19 vaccines and the proportion of cases reporting the selected appendicitis terms in the whole database. However, this increase in number or any statistically significant disproportionate increase in reports does not necessarily indicate a relationship but can help with hypothesis generation and detection of signals, hypothesised risks with a medicinal product that is supported by data and other arguments. As such, it is not possible to distinguish if these cases are vaccine related or part of the background rate of appendicitis.

Background rates have shown considerable heterogeneity between geographies and databases(Li et al., 2021). Furthermore, patient behaviour has been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, with fewer seeking medical attention, presenting later, and at a more severe stage of disease(Romero et al., 2020). These changes, as well as the incidence of any COVID-19 vaccine related appendicitis, need to be considered in further investigation of this possible association, with global longitudinal studies that examine cohorts, with an appropriate control group either from unvaccinated individuals or recent historical data, over an extended period. Thus, an incidence could be calculated and compared against the background rate and stratified by vaccine manufacturer, age, gender to identify if any specific risk population exists.

In conclusion, this study highlights a possible adverse event to COVID-19 vaccines. However, the exact nature of the relationship, if any, needs further longitudinal studies to expand upon this initial report as this series cannot be used to prove or disprove causality. Greater knowledge of this as a possible adverse event will sensitise healthcare workers and those receiving the vaccine to monitor symptoms and seek medical attention in a timely manner when appropriate.

#### **Data Availability**

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to agreements between contributors of data to the database used (VigiBase) and the custodian of this

database. National centres (mainly national drug regulatory authorities) participating in the WHO Programme for International Drug Monitoring (PIDM) contribute data to VigiBase and the Uppsala Monitoring Centre is the custodian in its capacity as WHO Collaborating Centre for International Drug Monitoring. Some subsets of the data may be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Author Contributions**

Joseph Mitchell: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft. Qun-Ying Yue: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Writing -Review & Editing, Supervision.

All authors attest they meet the ICMJE criteria for authorship.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Jim Barrett and Nils Erlanson for their help with data analysis. We would also like to thank all those at the UMC who have been involved in the various stages of this project. The authors are indebted to the national centres which make up the WHO Programme for International Drug Monitoring and contribute reports to VigiBase. However, the opinions and conclusions of this study are not necessarily those of the various centres nor of the WHO.

# References

Anderson, J.E., Campbell, J.A., Durowoju, L., Greenberg, S.L.M., Rice-Townsend, S.E., Gow, K.W., Avansino, J., 2021. COVID-19-associated multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) presenting as appendicitis with shock. J. Pediatr. Surg. Case Rep. 71, 101913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsc.2021.101913

Ansari, P., 2020. Appendicitis [WWW Document]. URL https://www.merckmanuals.com/professional/gastrointestinal-disorders/acute-abdomen-and-surgical-gastroenterology/appendicitis (accessed 3.19.21).

Bos, R., Rutten, L., van der Lubbe, J.E.M., Bakkers, M.J.G., Hardenberg, G., Wegmann, F., Zuijdgeest, D., de Wilde, A.H., Koornneef, A., Verwilligen, A., van Manen, D., Kwaks, T., Vogels, R., Dalebout, T.J., Myeni, S.K., Kikkert, M., Snijder, E.J., Li, Z., Barouch, D.H., Vellinga, J., Langedijk, J.P.M., Zahn, R.C., Custers, J., Schuitemaker, H., 2020. Ad26 vector-based COVID-19 vaccine encoding a prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 Spike immunogen induces potent humoral and cellular immune responses. NPJ Vaccines 5, 91. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-020-00243-x

Chae, M., Kumar, S., Cheema, M., 2015. Mantle cell lymphoma presenting as acute appendicitis. Int J Surg Case Rep 6c, 33–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2014.10.068

Corbett, K.S., Flynn, B., Foulds, K.E., Francica, J.R., Boyoglu-Barnum, S., Werner, A.P., Flach, B., O'Connell, S., Bock, K.W., Minai, M., Nagata, B.M., Andersen, H., Martinez, D.R., Noe, A.T., Douek, N., Donaldson, M.M., Nji, N.N., Alvarado, G.S., Edwards, D.K., Flebbe, D.R., Lamb, E., Doria-Rose, N.A., Lin, B.C., Louder, M.K., O'Dell, S., Schmidt, S.D., Phung, E., Chang, L.A., Yap, C., Todd, J.M., Pessaint, L., Van Ry, A., Browne, S., Greenhouse, J., Putman-Taylor, T., Strasbaugh, A., Campbell, T.A., Cook, A., Dodson, A., Steingrebe, K., Shi, W., Zhang, Y., Abiona, O.M., Wang, L., Pegu, A., Yang, E.S., Leung, K., Zhou, T., Teng, I.T., Widge, A., Gordon, I., Novik, L., Gillespie, R.A., Loomis, R.J., Moliva, J.I., Stewart-Jones, G., Himansu, S., Kong, W.P., Nason, M.C., Morabito, K.M., Ruckwardt, T.J., Ledgerwood, J.E., Gaudinski, M.R., Kwong, P.D., Mascola, J.R., Carfi, A., Lewis, M.G., Baric, R.S., McDermott, A., Moore, I.N., Sullivan, N.J., Roederer, M., Seder, R.A., Graham, B.S., 2020. Evaluation of the mRNA-1273 Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in Nonhuman Primates. N Engl J Med 383, 1544–1555. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2024671

Ferris, M., Quan, S., Kaplan, B.S., Molodecky, N., Ball, C.G., Chernoff, G.W., Bhala, N., Ghosh, S., Dixon, E., Ng, S., Kaplan, G.G., 2017. The Global Incidence of Appendicitis: A Systematic Review of Population-based Studies. Ann Surg 266, 237–241. https://doi.org/10.1097/sla.00000000000002188

Food and Drug Administration, 2021. Fact Sheet For Healthcare Providers Administering Vaccine (Vaccination Providers): Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) Of The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine To Prevent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [WWW Document]. URL https://www.fda.gov/media/144413/download (accessed 2.19.21).

Hazell, L., Shakir, S.A.W., 2006. Under-Reporting of Adverse Drug Reactions. Drug Saf. 29, 385–396. https://doi.org/10.2165/00002018-200629050-00003

Humes, D.J., Simpson, J., 2006. Acute appendicitis. BMJ 333, 530–534. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38940.664363.AE

International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use., 2003. ICH Harmonised tripartite guideline. Post-approval safety data management: definitions and standards.

Li, X., Ostropolets, A., Makadia, R., Shaoibi, A., Rao, G., Sena, A.G., Martinez-Hernandez, E., Delmestri, A., Verhamme, K., Rijnbeek, P.R., Duarte-Salles, T., Suchard, M., Ryan, P., Hripcsak, G., Prieto-Alhambra, D., 2021. Characterizing the incidence of adverse events of special interest for COVID-19 vaccines across eight countries: a multinational network cohort study. MedRxiv Prepr. Serv. Health Sci. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.25.21254315

Livingston, E.H., Woodward, W.A., Sarosi, G.A., Haley, R.W., 2007. Disconnect between incidence of nonperforated and perforated appendicitis: implications for pathophysiology and management. Ann Surg 245, 886–92. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000256391.05233.aa

Manisty, C., Otter, A.D., Treibel, T.A., McKnight, Á., Altmann, D.M., Brooks, T., Noursadeghi, M., Boyton, R.J., Semper, A., Moon, J.C., 2021. Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. Lancet Lond. Engl. 397, 1057–1058. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00501-8

Mathieu, E., Ritchie, H., Ortiz-Ospina, E., Roser, M., Hasell, J., Appel, C., Giattino, C., Rodés-Guirao, L., 2021. A global database of COVID-19 vaccinations. Nat. Hum. Behav. 5, 947–953. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01122-8

Norén, G.N., Hopstadius, J., Bate, A., 2013. Shrinkage observed-to-expected ratios for robust and transparent large-scale pattern discovery. Stat. Methods Med. Res. 22, 57–69. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280211403604

Petroianu, A., Villar Barrosso, T.V., 2016. Pathophysiology of Acute Appendicitis. JSM Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 4.

Polack, F.P., Thomas, S.J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J.L., Pérez Marc, G., Moreira, E.D., Zerbini, C., Bailey, R., Swanson, K.A., Roychoudhury, S., Koury, K., Li, P., Kalina, W.V., Cooper, D., Frenck, R.W., Jr., Hammitt, L.L., Türeci, Ö., Nell, H., Schaefer, A., Ünal, S., Tresnan, D.B., Mather, S., Dormitzer, P.R., Şahin, U., Jansen, K.U., Gruber, W.C., 2020. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 383, 2603–2615. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577

Rauenzahn, S., Armstrong, C., Curley, B., Sofka, S., Craig, M., 2013. Acute myeloid leukemia presenting as acute appendicitis. Case Rep Hematol 2013, 815365. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/815365

Ritchie, H., Mathieu, E., Rodés-Guirao, L., Appel, C., Giattino, C., Ortiz-Ospina, E., Hasell, J., Macdonald, B., Beltekian, D., Roser, M., 2020. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19) [WWW Document]. Our World Data. URL https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus (accessed 8.19.21).

Romero, J., Valencia, S., Guerrero, A., 2020. Acute Appendicitis During Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Changes in Clinical Presentation and CT Findings. J Am Coll Radiol 17, 1011–1013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2020.06.002


Rubér, M., Berg, A., Ekerfelt, C., Olaison, G., Andersson, R.E., 2006. Different cytokine profiles in patients with a history of gangrenous or phlegmonous appendicitis. Clin Exp Immunol 143, 117–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2005.02957.x

Sahin, U., Muik, A., Derhovanessian, E., Vogler, I., Kranz, L.M., Vormehr, M., Baum, A., Pascal, K., Quandt, J., Maurus, D., Brachtendorf, S., Lörks, V., Sikorski, J., Hilker, R., Becker, D., Eller, A.-K., Grützner, J., Boesler, C., Rosenbaum, C., Kühnle, M.-C., Luxemburger, U., Kemmer-Brück, A., Langer, D., Bexon, M., Bolte, S., Karikó, K., Palanche, T., Fischer, B., Schultz, A., Shi, P.-Y., Fontes-Garfias, C., Perez, J.L., Swanson, K.A., Loschko, J., Scully, I.L., Cutler, M., Kalina, W., Kyratsous, C.A., Cooper, D., Dormitzer, P.R., Jansen, K.U., Türeci, Ö., 2020. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and T H 1 T cell responses. Nature 586, 594–599. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2814-7

Star, K., Sandberg, L., Bergvall, T., Choonara, I., Caduff-Janosa, P., Edwards, I.R., 2019. Paediatric safety signals identified in VigiBase: Methods and results from Uppsala Monitoring Centre. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 28, 680–689. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4734

Swischuk, L.E., Chung, D.H., Hawkins, H.K., Jadhav, S.P., Radhakrishnan, R., 2015. Non-fecalith-induced appendicitis: etiology, imaging, and pathology. Emerg Radiol 22, 643–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-015-1338-1

Figure 1. Time to onset of appendicitis following COVID-19 vaccination, by vaccine manufacturer



VACCINE	TERM	OBSERVED CASES	EXPECTED CASES	IC <sub>025</sub>	IC
Covid-19 vaccines	Appendicitis, Appendicectomy, Complicated appendicitis and Appendicitis perforated	358	329	-0.0	0,1
Covid-19 vaccines	Appendicectomy	145	89	0.5*	0,7
Covid-19 vaccines	Complicated appendicitis	9	3	0.5*	1,6
Covid-19 vaccines	Appendicitis	305	198	0.5*	0,6
Covid-19 vaccines	Appendicitis perforated	47	56	-0.7	-0,3
By Vaccine Manufac	cturer				
Moderna	Appendicectomy	50	13	1.5*	1,9
Pfizer-BioNTech	Complicated appendicitis	8	1	1.3*	2,5
Janssen	Appendicectomy	17	4	1.3*	2,1
Pfizer-BioNTech	Appendicitis	170	72	1.0*	1,2
Moderna	Appendicitis	69	28	0.9*	1,3
Pfizer-BioNTech	Appendicectomy	72	32	0.8*	1,1
Janssen	Appendicitis	22	8	0.7*	1,4
Pfizer-BioNTech	Appendicitis perforated	27	20	-0-2	0,4
Janssen	Appendicitis perforated	5	2	-0.6	1,0
Moderna	Appendicitis perforated	9	8	-0.9	0,2
AstraZeneca	Appendicitis	41	82	-1.5	-1,0
Moderna	Complicated appendicitis	1	0	-3.0	0,8
AstraZeneca	Appendicitis perforated	6	23	-3.3	-1,9
Sinopharm	Appendicectomy	1	1	-3.5	0,3
COVID-19 vaccine	Appendicitis	1	1	-3.9	-0,1
AstraZeneca	Appendicectomy	5	37	-4.3	-2,8
Sinopharm	Appendicitis	1	2	-4.3	-0,5
Sinovac	Appendicitis	1	3	-5.2	-1,4

Table 1. Disproportionality Tables for COVID-19 vaccine and appendicitis with stratification by vaccine manufacturer. Abbreviations: IC – Information Component,  $IC_{025}$  – Lower endpoint of the credibility interval for the Information Component. Above 0 is considered statistically significant. (Norén et al., 2013; Star et al., 2019) \* denotes statistical significance

		ALL	PFIZER						
		CASE S (N=3 34)	BIONT ECH (N=186	MODE RNA (N=77)	ASTRAZE NECA (N=42)	JANSS EN (N=26	SINOPH ARM (N=1)	SINOV AC (N=1)	UNSPECI FIED VACCINE (N=1)
Age (%) (years)	12-17	1 (0.3)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	18-44	163 (49)	84 (45)	38 (49)	25 (60)	16 (62)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	45-64	102 (31)	57 (31)	25 (32)	13 (31)	5 (19)	0 (0.0)	1 (100)	1 (100)
	65-74	28 (8.4)	17 (9.1)	7 (9.1)	2 (4.8)	1 (3.8)	1 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	75+	19 (5.7)	14 (7.5)	3 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (7.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	Unkno wn	21 (6.3)	13 (7.0)	4 (5.2)	2 (4.8)	2 (7.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Median age (range)		42 (17 – 88)	45 (17 - 85)	42 (20 - 88)	40 (18 – 72)	41 (27 - 79)	73	52	50
Sex (%)	Female	214 (64)	125 (67)	49 (64)	23 (55)	17 (65)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	Male	117 (35)	61 (33)	28 (36)	17 (40)	9 (35)	1 (100)	1 (100)	0 (0.0)
	Unkno wn	3 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (4.8)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (100)
	1 <sup>ST</sup>	177 (53)	83 (45)	40 (52)	28 (67)	26 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Dose (%)	2 <sup>ND</sup>	97 (29)	70 (38)	27 (35)	0 (0.0)	N/A	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	UNKNO WN	60 (18)	33 (18)	10 (13)	14 (33)	0 (0.0)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)
Median TTO (range)		4 (0 – 71)	4 (0 - 66)	3 (0 – 71)	4 (0 -44)	4 (0 - 25)	14	N/A	4
Serious (%)		301 (90)	166 (89)	71 (92)	39 (93)	23 (87)	1 (100)	1 (100)	0 (0.0)
Surgical interven tion (%)		231 (69)	125 (67)	68 (88)	13 (31)	22 (85)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1(100)
Fatal (%)	Fatal	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Region (%)	North Americ a	245 (73)	146 (78)	74 (96)	0 (0.0)	25 (96)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	Europe	82 (25)	38 (20)	3 (3.9)	39 (93)	1 (3.8)	1 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
	Oceania	4 (1.2)	2 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (100)
	South	3	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (4.8)	0	0 (0.0)	1	0 (0.0)

Americ	(0.9)	(0.0)	(100	0)
a				

Table 2. Case demographics of appendicitis cases after COVID-19 vaccine by vaccine manufacturer

# **Declaration of interests**

☑ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.	
□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:	